



DFW

MS Amendment  
Attorney Docket No. 26046

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:

Tetsuji Suzuki, et al.

Confirmation No. 3294

Serial No. 10/797,483

Art Unit: 2851

Filed: March 11, 2004

Examiner: Andrew T. Sever

For: **IMAGE DISPLAYING APPARATUS AND COLOR SEPARATING-COMBINING  
OPTICAL SYSTEM**

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313

Sir:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:


- (1) Transmittal Letter; and
- (2) Response to Election of Species Requirement.

If an Extension of Time under 37 CFR §1.136 is required and has not been separately petitioned, please consider this Transmittal Letter as including a petition for such Extension of Time and as a further authorization to charge any fee for such Extension of Time, as may be required by 37 CFR §1.17, to Deposit Account No. 14-0112. Also, please charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in connection with this matter to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,  
**NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC**

July 11, 2005

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC  
1030 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.  
6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Tel: (202) 775-8383  
Fax: (202) 775-8396

  
Gary M. Nath  
Reg. No. 26,965  
Gregory B. Kang  
Reg. No. 45,273  
Derek Richmond  
Reg. No. 45,771  
Customer No. 20529



MS Amendment  
Attorney Docket No. 26046

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:

Tetsuji Suzuki, et al.

Confirmation No. 3294

Serial No. 10/797,483

Art Unit: 2851

Filed: March 11, 2004

Examiner: Andrew T. Sever

**For: IMAGE DISPLAYING APPARATUS AND COLOR SEPARATING-COMBINING  
OPTICAL SYSTEM**

**RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action having a mailing date of June 22, 2005. The one-month shortened statutory period to respond was set to expire July 22, 2005, which makes this a timely filed response to the outstanding Office Action.

In view of the following election and remarks, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to conduct a first substantive examination of the application.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1 – 10 are pending in the application. In the outstanding Office Action, claims 1 – 10 were subjected to an election of species requirement.

By this Response to Election of Species Requirement, an election without traverse, is made.